1	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING	
2	HALE AND DORR LLP	
2	SONAL N. MEHTA (SBN 222086)	
3	Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com	
	2600 El Camino Real, Suite 400	
4	Palo Alto, California 94306 Telephone: (650) 858-6000	
5	Facsimile: (650) 858-6100	
6	DAVID Z. GRINGER (pro hac vice) David.Gringer@wilmerhale.com	
7	7 World Trade Center	
	250 Greenwich Street	
8	New York, New York 10007	
9	Telephone: (212) 230-8800	
	Facsimile: (212) 230-8888	
10	ARI HOLTZBLATT (pro hac vice)	
1 1	Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com	
11	MOLLY M. JENNINGS (pro hac vice)	
12	Molly.Jennings@wilmerhale.com	
	1875 Pennsylvania Ave NW	
13	Washington, DC 20006	
14	Telephone: (202) 663-6000 Facsimile: (202) 663-6363	
	raesimile. (202) 003-0303	
15	Attorneys for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.	
16		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
20	MAXIMILIAN KLEIN, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 3:20-cv-08570-JD
21	themserves and an others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
22	Plaintiffs,	ADVERTISER PLAINTIFFS'
	v.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
23		CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
24	META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED
25	Defendant.	
	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. James Donato
26		
27		
28		

No. 3:20-cv-08570-JD

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED

THIS MATTER, having come before the Court on Advertiser Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should be Sealed, filed concurrently with Advertiser Plaintiffs' discovery dispute letter, dated July 27, 2022 (the "Letter"), and the Court having considered Defendant's Response to Advertiser Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should be Sealed, and other appropriate papers:

The Court finds compelling reasons to support the filing under seal of the portions of the Letter described herein. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the following portions of the Letter shall be filed under seal and sealed from the public record:

Portions to be filed Under	Reason for Sealing
Seal	
Redacted current and former non-officer	Letter contains the names of Defendant's
employee names.	current and former non-officer employees,
	which, if revealed could subject those
	employees to harassment, annoyance,
	oppression, or undue burden.
Redacted current and former officer and	Letter contains the email addresses of
employee email addresses.	Defendant's current and former officers and
	employees, which, if revealed could subject
	those officers and employees to harassment,
	annoyance, oppression, or undue burden.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Advertiser Plaintiffs shall re-file the Letter on the public docket with only such portions described above redacted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August, 2022	The Honorable James Donato
	United States District Court Judge

- 1 -